



UNC GREENSBORO

New and Regular Faculty Internal Research Award Program Office of Research and Engagement

Submission/Proposal Development Questions? Contact Eileen Miller (ecmille2@uncg.edu) or Kim Littlefield (kplittle@uncg.edu)

Budget Questions? Contact Barbara Hemphill (bbhemphi@uncg.edu)

Overview

The UNC Greensboro (UNCG) Office of Research and Engagement (ORE) provides intramural research support in the form of competitive New and Regular Faculty Internal Research Awards. These funds are awarded to initiate or advance research, scholarship, and creative activity. Faculty may apply for up to \$5,000 for individual, or \$10,000 for collaborative projects submitted by two or more UNCG faculty.

Faculty may apply to the New and Regular Faculty Internal Research Awards program from August 10, 2020 through 5pm on October 1, 2020.

Eligibility

New Faculty Research Awards go to full-time tenured or tenure-track faculty, clinical faculty, research faculty, and academic professional faculty – any rank - who have been at UNCG for 3 or fewer years.

Regular Faculty Research Awards go to full-time tenured or tenure-track faculty, clinical faculty, research faculty, and academic professional faculty – any rank - who have been at UNCG for more than 3 years.

Applicants must:

- Have been successfully reviewed for reappointment or tenure, if applicable;
- Have completed all work toward the terminal degree;
- Have submitted a final report for all previous internal grants;

New and Regular Faculty Internal Research Award Program at a Glance

- Projects that initiate or advance research, scholarship, and creative activity are encouraged.
- Awards are \$5,000; \$10,000 for collaborative proposals.
- Proposal submission deadline is October 1, 2020 @ 5pm.
- Proposal documents must be submitted through the InfoReady Review portal.
- Funding decisions are expected by November 30, 2020.
- Funding period is January 2021- June 2022.

- Have not received a New or Regular Faculty Internal Research Award or Faculty First Award since June 30, 2019.

Two or more eligible applicants may submit collaborative proposals with a budget of up to \$10,000. The role of each collaborator must be clearly defined in the proposal.

Applicants may submit one internal funding proposal for consideration per cycle. If an applicant is included on a collaborative project submission, that is considered a submission and the applicant is not eligible to submit an additional individual project submission.

Submitting the Application

Applicants will submit their application using UNCG's instance of InfoReady Review at: [2020-2021 New Faculty and Regular Faculty Internal Awards Program Submission Portal](#). To begin the submission process applicants MUST decide upon which one of four subcommittees they want to review their proposal: **Arts & Humanities, Creative & Performing Arts, Social & Behavioral Sciences, or Physical & Natural Sciences**. It is recommended that an applicant select a subcommittee based on the content of the proposal rather than on traditional departmental or discipline categories. Once decided, the applicant will select the proposal submission shell (competition link) that corresponds to the appropriate subcommittee:

<p>Submission Deadline: 5pm October 1, 2020</p>
--

Arts & Humanities:

<https://uncg.infoready4.com/#competitionDetail/1820485>

Creative & Performing Arts:

<https://uncg.infoready4.com/#competitionDetail/1820525>

Social & Behavioral Sciences:

<https://uncg.infoready4.com/#competitionDetail/1820515>

Physical & Natural Sciences:

<https://uncg.infoready4.com/#competitionDetail/1820514>

Applicants should be prepared to complete the following fields in the online application form:

- Departmental Affiliation(s) of PI and Co-PIs (if applicable)
- College/ School and Department affiliations of PI and Co-PIs (if applicable);
- New or Regular Faculty?
- Date of appointment to UNCG;
- Resubmission of a previous ORE-sponsored internal funding program proposal? Y or N
- Amount of Funding Requested;
- IRB or IACUC protocol approval status information if the research involves human or animal subjects, respectively. Additional information is available here: <https://integrity.uncg.edu/>
- Hazardous or radioactive materials use protocol approval status information. Indicate whether the protocol has been submitted or approved. Additional information is available here: <https://integrity.uncg.edu/>
- Google Drive folder upload instructions (if applicable). Applicants who wish to link to digital products, especially creative and performing arts applicants who wish to support

their proposal with the inclusion of artistic products (images, sound etc.) should create a shared Google Drive folder and copy/paste the shared folder address into the InfoReady Review application form where indicated. **Instructions on how to create a shared Google Drive folder are attached in Competition Files.** If you need technical assistance in creating a Google Drive folder, please contact oretech@uncg.edu

- Creative and performing artist applicants may also submit links to 3rd party websites via the application form.

Formatting

Applications should be prepared in 12-point Times New Roman or 11-point Arial, single spaced, with 1-inch margins on all sides.

Components of the Application

There are two main components to the application:

- I. Research Proposal
- II. Proposal Budget and Justification

Each component requires a separate upload.

- I. **RESEARCH PROPOSAL:** The research proposal must include the following six components, submitted in the order listed here. Please label each section using the headings listed below. Number the pages with the Signature Page as page 1. Applicants are required to upload their research proposal as a single PDF file through the appropriate subcommittee proposal submission shell found on the [InfoReady Review](#) platform.

1. Signature Page

Chair/Head or Director and Dean or designee approval signatures (digital signatures are allowed) are required for all applicants, both primary and co-applicants. The signature page template is available for download under Competition Files in each subcommittee proposal submission shell.

2. **For Resubmissions Only:** Response to Reviewer's comments (1-page limit).

A resubmission constitutes any application previously submitted under any internal award mechanism (e.g., new or regular faculty internal funding award, faculty first award) that was not funded but has been revised and resubmitted for consideration under the same or different funding program. If the project is a new idea or new topic area that has not been submitted for consideration by the UNCG faculty grants committee, then it is not considered a resubmission. For a proposal that is a resubmission, applicants should summarize the reviewers' comments and concerns and describe how these comments and concerns have been addressed in the revised proposal. This documentation is limited to one page. If the application is a resubmission, this summary page **MUST** be included and **ALL** reviewer comments **MUST** be addressed. Please keep in mind that even if all reviewer comments are addressed, it does not guarantee that the resubmission will be awarded. If the proposal is not a resubmission, indicate that section 2 is Not Applicable (NA).

3. **Progress of Research and/or Creative Activity Since Last Internal Award** (1-page limit)

If the applicant has previously been awarded an internal award, the applicant should briefly describe the progress of the research and/or creative activity project in the time since the previous project was funded. This may include peer-reviewed publications, performances, exhibitions, and/or other relevant work(s). If the applicant has not received previous internal funding, indicate that section 3 is Not Applicable (N/A). You may include audio clips, video clips, photography, and artwork as supplemental documents if these materials are directly

related to the proposed scholarship. Applicants may submit links to 3rd party websites via the application form or may create a shared Google Drive folder and copy/paste the link into the application form (instructions available under **Competition Files**).

4. **Proposal Narrative** (4-page limit, not including references)

The proposal narrative is limited to four pages. Because proposals are reviewed by faculty from many different disciplines, it is important that applicants write their proposals in a way that the work to be done and the importance of that work can be understood by people who are from a different discipline or content area. The emphasis in the proposal should be on the description of what will be done during the period of the award, described in a way that any educated reader can evaluate the likelihood that the project goals will be met and that the planned work is likely to impact the field and that the results of the project will be disseminated through publication, performance, exhibition, or other discipline-appropriate forum, or future external funding for the applicant.

There are five parts (A.-E.) to the proposal narrative, as noted below, of which only A-D are included in the 4-page limit. Please clearly label each section with the headings shown here. The page guidelines for some sections below are included as suggestions with the exception of the Background and Significance section which may be as short as you would like but may not exceed 1 ½ pages. Note, the entire narrative is limited to four pages in total, including the timeline. Submissions exceeding the four-page limit will be returned without review.

A. Non-technical Summary (approximately 1/2 page)

The summary is an opportunity for the applicant to convince the reviewers of the value and significance of the project and to convey the importance of this particular project in the applicant's overall program of research/scholarship. Include in the summary a description of the overall goals of the project, emphasizing the contributions that the project will make to the applicant's program of scholarship and to his/her field of study more broadly. It is important for the summary to be written in a manner that allows reviewers who are not familiar with the applicant's field of study to understand the goals and significance of the proposed work.

B. Background and Significance (not to exceed 1½ pages)

In this section, the applicant explains the importance of the project, framed in context with the current literature or creative work and clarifies to the reader how the proposed scholarship contributes to the field and to the applicant's own program of scholarship. The literature review need not be exhaustive but instead should be selective, with the goal of helping the non-specialist understand the value of the proposed work. This section should include a clear statement of the specific aims or objectives of the project and an explanation of the contribution the work will make when completed. Citations may be included in the text; however, footnotes should not be used; citations should correspond to a list of references or works cited that are presented on a separate page at the end of the narrative (see details in Section E.).

C. Project Design and Procedures

In this section the applicant convinces the reviewers that he or she has a clear plan of work that is achievable within the time frame of the award period. Reviewers will look in

this section for a description of what activities will be performed, what methodology is to be used and how the project will be conducted. The methods and significance of the work must be described in a way that can be understood by scholars from other disciplines. Note, there are discipline-specific considerations in this section of the proposal:

Humanists must identify the source materials to be interpreted and where they will be obtained (whether from museum collections, libraries, archives, people, field sites, etc.). If examining historical documents, artifacts, literary works, or musical compositions, the applicant should describe the nature of the information the applicant is seeking. The applicant should also describe, in as much detail as possible, how the sources will be used or interpreted.

Physical and Natural Scientists/Social and Behavioral Scientists must identify the specific sources of data (primary or secondary) to be collected/obtained (whether from human subjects, animals, or the physical world), how the data is to be collected/ obtained and how the data will be analyzed. The applicant should provide as much detail as possible to explain the process by which the data will be reduced, integrated or interpreted.

Creative and Performing Artists must explain their plans and procedures and how the proposed work relates to ideas, theories, problems, studio customs or performance practice in their field. Work Samples will be accepted in support of Creative and Performing Artist project design and procedures under the guidelines below: Submit between two and four work samples of past or present work. Prioritize samples that relate to the proposed project.

This is a very important part of the application as *the work-sample illustrates the artistic merit of the applicant's work*. Please choose media of the highest artistic quality that is relevant creative work of the artist(s) involved in the application, such as recordings, videos, still photographs, scores, scripts, design sketches, etc.

Work sample Submission Guidelines

When submitting your work samples in digital format, please keep in mind that our panelists typically have access to standard, universally available audio/visual and computer equipment or software. The guidelines below were written to help ensure that review panelists can play your media and/or access your files without complications.

Digital Images

Image titles should clearly identify who the image was submitted by and be limited only to relevant work. The following file formats can be accepted for digital audio files: .jpeg, .gif, .png, bpm or .tiff. Other formats may not be supported.

Digital Audio

The following file formats can be accepted for digital audio files: .wav, .mp3 or .mp4. Other formats may not be supported.

Digital Video

The following file formats can be accepted for digital video files: .mov or .mp4. Other formats may not be supported.

D. Project Timeline

Include enough details about the tasks and milestones (quarterly milestone information will suffice) to demonstrate when project activities are projected to occur over the course of the award period. Be sure the tasks described in the Project Design and Procedures section are included in the timeline. Any task or milestone presented in the timeline should be described in the proposal narrative and vice versa.

E. List of References/Cited Works (Not included in 4-page proposal narrative limit)

Include *only* the references or works cited in the proposal narrative. Include this information on a *separate* numbered page following Section D of the project narrative.

5. Other Internal and External Support (begin this section on a new page)

One of the main objectives of the ORE-sponsored internal award programs is to increase applicant's capacity to submit competitive proposals that garner external award support. It is important that the reviewers are aware of previous internal and external funding awarded to, and stewarded by, the applicant(s) - their funding track record(s) - to assess the likelihood and potential that the project under consideration will contribute to the development of a competitive proposal to seek external support. Thus, this section is very important to complete in detail; lack of information in this section could result in an applicant, with an otherwise strong application, to be denied funding.

Please organize the information into the following three sections:

- a) Prior internal support – provide a list of New Faculty/Regular Faculty Research Awards, Faculty First Scholarship Support Awards, or internal grants from the applicant's Department or School/College received *in the last five years*. Include type of award, title, and dates of funding for each project. Final reports must have been submitted for each completed University award in order to receive additional internal awards. If applicants are requesting funding for another phase of a project funded previously by an internal award, they should clearly explain their progress on the project to date.
- b) Planned on-going support– include the names of any funding agencies or foundations or external partners (e.g., industry) to which you plan to submit a proposal that is related to the project for which internal funding is sought, the planned submission dates (be specific), a brief (2-3 sentences) description of the content of the proposal, and an approximate budget amount. If the agency or organization is not widely known (for example, a regional or specialized foundation), provide information regarding the nature of funding provided by that agency with the application. It is helpful to explain how completion of the proposed internally funded project will make you more competitive for the follow-on funding and/or is essential for the expansion of the project. While we realize that some disciplines have limited opportunities for additional funding, **plans for seeking future funding are required of all applicants**. If you need assistance in identifying possible funding sponsors, please contact your Associate Dean of Research and/or your Office of Sponsored Programs [department liaison](#).
- c) Previous external support and awards and proposals submitted– include projects currently funded from external sources, proposals submitted within the last two years but not funded, and proposals submitted and pending a funding decision. For each proposal,

include the investigators' names, funding agency, title of project, dates of funding received or requested, and budget amount. Fellowships are considered external funding. Awards and prizes for scholarly work that are directly related to the proposal topic should be included.

- d) On a separate page, the applicant(s) should describe any other funding or support (such as in-kind or donor support) that has been committed in support of the project or line of scholarship related to the project, as well as any past, current, and future projects. If this internal proposal is a joint application from two or more faculty members, this information must be provided for all applicants.

6. **Curriculum Vitae** (3 pages maximum, begin this section on a new page)

The CV should include the applicant's education, employment history, and a bibliography of recent and/or relevant publications or creative works or activities. The CV is limited to three pages for each applicant and co-applicant. *A CV must be provided for each faculty member named in the proposal.*

- II. **PROPOSAL BUDGET AND JUSTIFICATION:** New Faculty and Regular Faculty Internal Research Awards require a budget and a narrative justification for each item in the budget. The budget form and narrative justification should be compiled into a single PDF and uploaded as a separate attachment in the proposal submission shell. Use of the Budget Summary Form (an excel file) available for download from the competition files section of each subcommittee proposal submission shell, is required. A separate page headed "Budget Justification" should contain narrative information for each budget category, including a description of the line items proposed in each budget category. Be specific about budget item requests, especially for personnel (explaining number of hours and hourly rate, if appropriate, as well as the nature of work to be performed). If additional space is needed for the budget justification, include a separate page, headed "Budget Justification Page 2". The budget request should be presented in whole dollar amounts, rounding as needed. All budgetary items must be in accord with UNCG's policies. Purchasing guidelines can be found at <https://purchasing.uncg.edu/>. Employment of undergraduate or graduate students or other personnel must follow UNCG guidelines.

Allowable Expenses:

- Personnel costs: Salary for faculty and staff; wages for undergraduate and graduate students, based on the standard wage for the department unless the applicant justifies a higher rate – and - fringe benefits as required according to UNCG policies;
- Travel expenses necessary for completion of the proposed work, including international travel – per diem and mileage rates must meet current UNCG guidelines (<https://sys.uncg.edu/policies-and-procedures/>);
- Supplies and equipment necessary to complete the project and that are not readily available on campus or through the applicant's department;
- Software necessary to complete the project that is not available through Information Technology Services.

NOTE: All personnel costs must include fringe benefits. [Click here for current Fringe Benefits.](#)

Employer taxes and fringe costs are removed from the budgeted amount prior to distribution to the employee (i.e., a request for \$5,000 in salary for a 9 or 12- month

faculty member will result in the need to cover approximately \$1,240 in fringe benefits (e.g., retirement, FICA). \$3,760 would be reflected in the employee's salary and the appropriate individual taxes would be deducted from that amount.

Requests for travel expenditures require an explanation of the reason for the travel and a breakdown of the request (e.g., airfare, per diem for meals, and lodging rate per day). For current per diem rates please view [Accounting Service's Travel Policies Manual](#). It is not necessary to itemize small amounts spent for supplies and materials.

Expenses Not Allowed:

- Travel to a professional meeting or conference;
- Travel that is not essential to completion of the research (i.e., travel to consult with a colleague or mentor is generally not considered essential and would need to be well justified to be supported);
- Graduate or undergraduate wages or assistantships that are not directly related to the project;
- Salary for non-UNCG employees or students;
- Subcontracts to other universities or organizations;
- Materials or equipment already available on campus or generally provided by departments;
- Software normally provided by [Information Technology Services](#).

NOTE: The New and Regular Faculty Internal Research Award budget must be expended within the allotted award period. Funds that are not spent within the award term will be forfeited by the researcher and reclaimed by the Office of Research and Engagement to be used for the next competition. Outstanding invoices must be paid and reimbursement requests must be submitted for payment within 30 days of the close of the award.

Proposal Review Process

Proposals are evaluated on merit (see following for the review criteria). However, once reviewers have determined which proposals are fundable, priority is assigned to faculty who have not received an internal grant within the last five years.

All proposals submitted to the New and Regular Faculty Internal Research Award competition are reviewed by members of the Research Grants Committee, a Faculty Senate Committee, that makes funding recommendations to the Vice Chancellor for Research and Engagement. The Research Grants Committee includes faculty who are elected, an appointed Senate representative, and ad hoc members as needed. Information about the elected committee members may be found here: <https://facultysenate.uncg.edu/index.php/research-grants-committee/>

Each member of the committee serves on one of four subcommittees: Arts & Humanities, Creative & Performing Arts, Social & Behavioral Sciences, or Physical & Natural Sciences.

Proposal Review Criteria

Proposals submitted to the Arts & Humanities, Social & Behavioral Sciences, and Physical & Natural Sciences subcommittees are reviewed according to the following qualitative and quantitative criteria:

Qualitative:

- Response to Reviewers (for Resubmission Proposals only)
- Overall Strengths of the Proposal
- Overall Weaknesses of the Proposal

Quantitative (Scored with ratings between 1 (poor) – 9 (exceptional))

- Quality of the Non-Technical Summary Section
- Quality of the Background Significance presentation
- Quality of the Project Design Procedures presentation
- Quality of the Project Timeline presentation
- Quality of the plan to seek external support to extend or expand the project
- Quality of the budget request and justification

Proposals submitted to the Creative & Performing Arts subcommittee are reviewed according to the following qualitative and quantitative criteria:

Qualitative:

- Response to Reviewers (for Resubmission Proposals only)
- Artistry as shown by past and/or present work
- Significance as outlined in the project narrative
- Ability to execute the project as proposed

Quantitative:

Overall rating for the proposal (scored with ratings between 1 (Poor) – 9 (exceptional))

Rubric:

Reviewers will be guided by this rubric:

Criterion Strength	Score	Descriptor
High	9	Exceptional
	8	Outstanding
	7	Excellent
Medium	6	Very good
	5	Good
	4	Satisfactory
Low	3	Fair
	2	Marginal
	1	Poor

Subcommittees report their reviews (criterion rating scores and comments) which drive the proposal rankings within the subcommittee. The funding threshold average score is determined collaboratively by the subcommittee chairs and Vice Chancellor for Research and Engagement. The ORE notifies applicants about funding decisions. Unsuccessful applicants receive feedback from the program about their proposals. All communication about proposals and the resulting review is considered confidential. Information about funding of specific proposals will be communicated only from the ORE.

Post Award Requirements/Expectations

An **initial** progress report is due to the Office of Research and Engagement no later than 6 months following the award completion. In addition, awardees will submit a **final** progress report one year after the initial progress report. The reports will be requested by, and submitted through, the InfoReady Review Platform. Awardees will be expected to participate in a proposed ORE Research Forum in the Fall of 2022.

The Office of Research and Engagement may contact awardees for additional, ad hoc progress updates for up to three years following submission of the final progress report. The information may be used to showcase research/scholarship at UNCG and/or to comprehensively assess the effectiveness of the program.